No. of Printed Pages : 5

MS-25

MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME (MP) Term-End Examination

June, 2024

MS-25 : MANAGING CHANGE IN ORGANISATIONS

Time : 3 Hours

Maximum Marks : 100

(Weightage 70%)

- Note: (i) There are two Sections—Section A and Section B.
 - (ii) Attempt any three questions from Section A. Each question carries 20 marks.
 - (iii) Section **B** is compulsory and carries 40 marks.

Section-A

- 1. Differentiate between transactional and transformational Leadership. Briefly evaluate the role of a leader to facilitate change for better organisational performance.
- 2. Describe and discuss Weisbord's six box model for organisational diagnosis. Discuss its merits.

- 3. Enumerate various group based approaches to bring change in organisations. Give examples.
- 4. Identify the characteristics of TQM. How does this technique help in bringing change in organisations ? Explain with the help of examples.
- 5. Write short notes on any *three* of the following :
 - (a) Steps involved in turnaround management
 - (b) Types of mergers
 - (c) Flat organisation vs. Tall organization
 - (d) Characteristics of indigenous management
 - (d) Resistance to change

Section-B

6. Read the following case carefully and answer the questions given at the end :

The Educational Trust, Bangalore, established XYZ Electronics Centre, in 1986, with assistance from a foreign donor. Electronics Centre was set up to train youngsters in electronics. Along with the Centre, a production shop to manufacture PCBs and a laboratory to develop projects on a commercial basis were also set up. The donor preferred the trust because of its excellent track record as a training institution in India. The Centre was fully funded by the donor. Ghosh, a B.Tech. from IIT, Madras, was designated as General Manager of the Centre. He was earlier Maintenance Manager in Tool Room Division run by the Trust. Ghosh developed excellent rapport with the donor. Often, the Trust used to divert funds from the Centre to tide over cash flow problems. The donor expected to hand over the Centre to the Trust for running on a selfsufficiency basis from April, 1995. It was also further contemplating of on а phase cooperation. The donor even had plans to strengthen the Trust by providing funds and other inputs for which purpose a group of consultants was sent to study and recommend. Findings of the consultants were not palatable to the Trust, nor was it in a mood to implement the recommendations. In the meanwhile, the Trust decided to reorganise its activities retaining Centre under its fold and transferring production shop and laboratory to a newly floated limited company. These developments irritated the donor and his relationship with the Trust got soured. Trust also felt that Ghosh

was more tilting towards the donor and was trying to bring in to the Centre a culture which was alien to the Trust. After splitting the activities, the Trust introduced certain changes in the Centre. One such change was to direct the Centre to report to Sethi, the Executive Director (Training) of the Trust, reversing the earlier practice of reporting to the MD. Ghosh had joined the Trust six months after Sethi. He became GM, Electronics Centre much ahead of Sethi. However, Sethi overtook Ghosh and became Executive Director in 1994. Ghosh remained as GM of the centre. Now Ghosh was required to report to Sethi. When Ghosh had abroad, organisational changes were gone effected. After returning from abroad, Ghosh learnt about the change, rushed to the MD and expressed his utter displeasure. He was persuaded by the MD to accept the change in the interest of the organisation. Sethi being an experienced administrator and knowing Ghosh's displeasure, kept distances in the management of the Centre. His intention was to wait till Ghosh reconciled and accepted the reality. Ghosh was deliberately avoiding Sethi

Within a month's time, Ghosh put in his papers. The MD was very much annoyed by Ghosh's behaviour and also based on the lingering suspicion he had on Ghosh's loyalty to the Trust, he immediately relieved him without even waiting for the notice period.

Questions :

- (a) What prompted the 'changes' at XYZ **Electronics Centre**?
- (b) What would have been your strategy to implement change at the Trust?
- Suppose you are the new incumbent unit (c) head at XYZ Electronics Centre, how would you restore morale and build trust of the employees ?