No. of Printed Pages : 7

MS-29

MANAGEMENT PROGRAMME (MP) Term-End Examination December, 2022 MS-29 : INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

Time : 3 Hours

Maximum Marks : 100

Weightage : 70%

Note: (i) There are two Sections—A and B.

- (ii) Attempt any three questions from Section A. Each question carries 20 marks.
- (iii) Section B is compulsory and carries 40 marks.

Section-A

 What is cultural sensitivity ? Discuss cultural differences and similarities from international perspective and explain the strategies to deal with cultural differences.

- Describe the importance of international assignments and the approaches to staffing for international assignments. Briefly discuss any *two* methods of recruitment for international purpose and their merits and demerits.
- 3. Explain Global Compensation Management. Briefly discuss different approaches to compensate their expatriate employees and their relevance.
- Describe and discuss different barriers to crosscultural communication and how it can be minimized. Briefly Explain the process of crosscultural negotiation.
- 5. Write short notes on any *three* of the following:
 - (a) Business ethics
 - (b) Organizational capability
 - (c) Cross-cultural issues in motivation
 - (d) Domestic vs. International HRM
 - (e) Pre-Departure Training Programme

[3]

Section—B

6. Read the following case carefully and answer the questions given at the end :

The luxury car company referred to in the previous Case Study was bought by a leading European car manufacturer which we will call People Motors. It became part of ล transnational corporation with operations in many parts of the world. Local management was supported in terms of the development of new systems of workplace organisation and operations; although the nature of the car manufactured in the UK was of such a high value-added nature that the local management was able to convince their transnational headquarters of the different challenges facing the local operator. Suddenly, local management in Bartlett Motors found themselves a small player in a much larger pool of senior and managers, regardless international of the

strength of their local brand worldwide. They were exposed to new systems of international management control and performance management systems.

At the same time the presence of a European Works Council and a Global Works Council meant that local trade unionists began to have access to a higher tier of negotiation and This consultation. provided them with information that local management did not always obtain. What is more, with the concern with ecological and ethical pressures, a series of projects were developed worldwide which. involved key individuals from the UK factory trade unionists began to engage explicitly with the agenda of CSR. The consequence of these developments was that there arose a new, soft. system of regulation albeit and consultation, which provided information and networks to local trade unionists. This was part

[4]

of the new attempt to set common benchmarks and socially oriented standards of employment.

In some cases members of these councils were used as mediators and 'consultants of sorts' to deal with problems in People Motors production plants in Africa and Latin America. These trade unionists therefore became new players within the firm, linking up with external organisations including the ILO, international union bodies and international social organisations. Through these networks they lobbied for more regulation and negotiation within the wider structures of the MNC. The trade union representatives themselves travelling throughout found Europe, and the world, attending meetings and lobbying. The trade unionists referenced the increasing presence of international frameworks. corporate social responsibility declarations, codified labour standards and even the greater interest in ecological and Roles within the workplace at Bartlett Motor Cars began to change as trade unionists began to engage with such international networks. Trade union representatives faced increased pressure from competing claims on their time and resources in terms of balancing their traditional workplace responsibilities with their new international roles. By their nature such international networks could appear to be somewhat remote from daily experiences of the workplace, which created an additional source of tension for trade union representatives in terms of balancing their roles. This was countered by consciously sustaining a strong dialogue with the range of activists and members within the plant's union structures, and actively encouraging their involvement in these broader processes. The concern was that

MS-29

over the longer term such international developments would require greater resources and time if they were not to evolve at the expense of day to day local union activity.

 $Case \; questions:$

- (a) Identify the issues in the case.
- (b) Suggest measures to resolve the issues.